## hern District of California 12 14 15 16 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ARTURO DEVESA VILLANUEVA,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>5:14-cv-04976-HRL</u>

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Plaintiff filed this action on November 10, 2014. There is no indication that any of the defendants have been served, and there has been little activity on the docket since the complaint was filed. Plaintiff's counsel, who apparently is a Washington state attorney, has not applied for pro hac vice admission before this court. Additionally, plaintiff failed to comply with this court's June 28, 2016 order to file a status report. Accordingly, **no later than July 15, 2016**, plaintiff shall file a response to this order and show cause why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and to follow court orders.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 5, 2016

HOWARD R. LLOYD United States Magistrate Judge

## Case 5:14-cv-04976-EJD Document 11 Filed 07/05/16 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court Northern District of California 

5:14-cv-04976-HRL	Notice has	boon aloc	tronicolly	moiled to
3:14-CV-U49/0-HKL	nouce nas	been elec	uromcany	maned to:

2	Carllene Marva Placide	nlacide@nlacidelaw.com
	Carnelle Marva Placide	practide@practideraw.com